

The HIC 2018 Best Student Paper Awards

The HIC 2018 sponsored Best Student Paper Awards are designed to encourage and acknowledge excellence in student paper presentations.

Awards

Each of the 6 themes:

- A. Technologies for water management and monitoring
- B. Remote Sensing
- C. Big-data, knowledge and water data management
- D. Hydraulic and hydrological modelling
- E. Climate change impacts
- F. Environmental and coastal hydroinformatics

may select one student presentation as the “Best Student Paper”

The Best Paper Presentation Award will consist of:

- an award certificate
- free HIC 2020 registration
- free registration to IWA.

Six special students award sessions will be planned, one for each theme. An evaluation panel will be created for each Student Special Session. The evaluation panel will consist of the specific Student Special Session chairs, and the chairs of other session within the corresponding theme if attending the whole students’ presentations.

The winners may be announced at the conclusion of the Gala Dinner – If winner is selected by that time. HIC will announce the winners on the HIC 2018 website.

Eligibility criteria

Papers will be selected according to the following criteria:

- They should have a B.Sc. or M.Sc. Student of a Ph.D. Candidate as first and main contributor and presenter (copy of a proof document will be requested by the Organizing Committee);
- Student must be less than 35 years old at the beginning of the Conference;
- The Student should formally apply to the Best Student Paper Award Programme by sending an Email to secretary@hic2018.org after the upload of the full paper (1st March 2018) declaring her/his willingness to present the paper at the Conference and the availability to being evaluated according to the following criteria

Evaluation criteria

The Scientific Committee will identify student papers via an eligibility survey.

Evaluators will receive a list of the presentations along with the paper submitted by the students for the Best Student Paper Award consideration.

The evaluators should review and evaluate/score the technical summaries along with the onsite presentations by the student authors. The student author must present the paper, publish the manuscript within the proceedings, and verify their student status along with registration to qualify.

Candidates will be evaluated based on Technical Considerations and Presentation Considerations.

Technical Considerations include the following criteria : *Review of Work; Understand Problem; Sound Approach; Use of technology; Adequate Analysis; Results; Recommendations and Other Technical Aspects.*

Presentation Considerations include the following criteria : *Organization; Impress and Inform; Training Aids and Winning the Audience.*

For each criterion, a score will be assigned by each component of the evaluation panel ranging between 0 (Poor) and 4 (Outstanding).

The one with the highest overall numerical scores will win the award. Should there be a tie, the presenter with the most “outstanding” marks will win.